



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/823,878  | 04/14/2004  | Joseph Vogrig        | 78592               | 5675             |
| 22342 7590 03/17/2008<br>FITCH EVEN TABIN AND FLANNERY<br>120 SOUTH LA SALLE STREET<br>SUITE 1600<br>CHICAGO, IL 60603-3406 |             |                      |                     |                  |
| EXAMINER  |             |                      |                     |                  |
| PICKETT, JOHN G   |             |                      |                     |                  |
| ART UNIT  |             | PAPER NUMBER         |                     |                  |
| 3728  |             |                      |                     |                  |
| MAIL DATE   |             | DELIVERY MODE        |                     |                  |
| 03/17/2008  |             | PAPER                |                     |                  |

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/823,878

**Applicant(s)**

VOGRIG ET AL.

**Examiner**

J. Gregory Pickett

**Art Unit**

3728

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) J. Gregory Pickett.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Stephen S. Favakeh.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 28 February 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant

2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes

e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 7.

Identification of prior art discussed: Edwards and Lange.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant proposed to amend claim 1 to include functional limitations concerning the arrangement prior to driving the rivet. Since Figure 3 of Edwards is "during" driving of the rivet, the proposed amendment appears to overcome Edwards, subject to further consideration and/or search. Agreement was not reached with respect to claim 7.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/J. Gregory Pickett/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3728

Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.